

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

his reputation, acquired at great expense of money and effort, from being used as a weapon against him. The partial appropriation of this reputation by the cut price retailer for the purpose of discrediting the particular brand of goods, destroying the general market for them and attracting people into the cut price store to enable the proprietor to foist off other brands is a trading upon the name and reputation of the manufacturer in a way not equitable,⁵ being neither authorized by the manufacturer nor paid for in the purchase price of the goods. M. K. W.

REPUDIATION: SALE BY VENDOR TO THIRD Contracts: Party.—Repudiation has been defined to be "such words or actions by a contracting party as indicate that he is not going to perform his contract in the future;" as, for example, by saying he is not going to perform. An unequivocal repudiating act should equally be considered a breach as, for example, where the vendor in a contract to sell land to A conveys to B, an innocent purchaser, and under no duty to convey to A. A should not be required to hold himself in readiness to perform and wait to see whether B will choose to carry out the vendor's contract. The California law has been assumed to be otherwise,2 but Mr. Justice Henshaw, in Brimmer v. Salisbury, in a careful review of the authorities points out that in such cases as Joyce v. Shafer there was no showing that the vendor was conveying in disregard of the first purchaser's rights; for all that appeared he may have been selling in strict subordination to the first purchaser's equitable title. The California law is thus shown to be in accord with authority and sound principle.

CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE: STORING COMBUSTIBLE MATERIAL ON PLAINTIFF'S LAND ADJOINING RAILROAD RIGHT OF WAY. The partially concurring opinion of Justice Holmes, with whom Chief Justice White agreed, in the case of Leroy Fibre Company v. Chicago, M. & S. P. Ry., raises the question whether a man may be guilty of contributory negligence on account of the location, or material, or contents of a structure which he has erected on his own land, but adjoining, or close to, a line of railroad. The minds of Justice McKenna, who writes the opinion of the court, and Jus-

⁵ For a clear description of the methods of illegitimate price cutters, and a discussion of the producer's equitable rights, see Predatory Price Cutting as Unfair Trade, 27 Harv. Law Rev. 139.

Wald's Pollock on Contracts, 3d ed. by Williston, p. 333.
Joyce v. Shafer, (1893) 97 Cal. 335, 32 Pac. 320; Shiveley v. Semitropic etc. Co., (1893) 99 Cal. 259, 33 Pac. 848; Garberino v. Roberts, (1895) 109 Cal. 125, 41 Pac. 857; Wald's Pollock on Contracts, 3d ed. by Williston, p. 354.
(Mar. 27, 1914) 47 Cal. Dec. 469.
(1893) 97 Cal. 335, 32 Pac. 320.

¹ (Feb 24, 1914) 232 U. S. 340, 34 Sup. Ct. Rep. 415.